New Phases and Phase Transitions Observed in Over-Charged States of LiCoO₂ Based Cathode Materials

X. Q. Yang, X. Sun, J. McBreen (BNL) Y. Gao and M. V. Yakovleva (FMC Corp.)
Abstract No. Yang7497
Beamline(s): X18A

Introduction: LiCoO₂ is the most widely used cathode material in commercial lithium battery cells. LiNiO₂ has same theoretical capacity as LiCoO₂, but is less expensive. However its application in lithium batteries has not been realized due to serious safety concerns. Substituting a portion of Ni in LiNiO₂ with other cations has been pursued as a way to improve its safety characteristics. It was reported that Co doped LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.2}O₂ showed better thermal stability than pure LiNiO₂. New materials, such as LiNi_{0.75}Mg_{0.125}Ti_{0.125}O₂, and LiNi_{0.65}Co_{0.25}Mg_{0.05}Ti_{0.05}O₂, have been developed. These materials exhibit even superior thermal stability to LiNi_{0.8}Co_{0.2}O₂. Using an ex situ XRD technique, Ohzuku¹ has performed interesting studies on some of the Li_{1-x}CoO₂ based materials. However, the charging voltage limit was set below 4.8 V in these studies. Dahn and co-workers have also published their results² on the structural changes of Li_{1-x}CoO₂ during charge using *in situ* XRD. The charging voltage used in that study was below 4.3 V. Amatucci and coworkers³ claimed a new phase transition, from a monoclinic phase M2 to a Cdl₂ type single-layered hexagonal phase O1 for 0.9<x<1 in Li_{1-x}CoO₂. Using the state of art synchrotron based *in situ* x-ray diffraction, we have reported new findings on phase transitions for both Li_{1-x}CoO₂ and Li_{1-x}NiO₂ systems.^{4,5} In this work, we have performed systematic studies on the structural changes of LiCoO₂, LiCoO₃. Ni_{0.5}O₂ cathode materials during charge in the voltage range from 3.5 V to 5.2 V.

Methods and Materials: LiCoO₂ and LiCo_{0.2}Ni_{0.8}O₂ were provided by FMC. LiCo_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}O₂ and LiCo_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}O₂ were synthesized by solid state reaction in our Lab. Cathodes were prepared by slurrying the active material powder with 10% PVDF (KynarFlex 2801, Atochem), and 10% acetylene black (w/w) in a fugitive solvent, then coating the mixture onto Al foil. The cathodes were incorporated into cells with a Li foil negative electrode, a Celgard separator and a 1 M LiPF₆ electrolyte in a 1:1 EC: DMC solvent (LP 30 from EM Industries Inc.). Mylar windows were used in these in situ cells. *In situ* XRD spectra were collected on beam line X18A at National Synchrotron Light Source (NSLS) at Brookhaven National Laboratory operated at an energy of 10375 eV (λ =1.195 Å).

Results: It was reported that a monoclinic phase M2 is located in the region of 0.75 < x < 0.85 for Li_{1-x}CoO₂ in the over-charged state. However, in our *in situ* XRD data, no signature for the presence of a monoclinic phase M2 was observed. In the same composition region, we did observed more diffraction peaks. However, these new peaks can be indexed as two sets of O1 type structures. In other words, the formation of O1 structure takes two steps. An intermediate structure O1a is formed before the cathode totally converts to the final O1 structure. In the *in situ* XRD spectra of a LiCo_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}O₂ cathode, the formation of the O1 type structure was also observed when the cell was over-charged to 5.2 V. However, at the end of charge at 5.2 V, the system is a mixture of two phases. Only a small portion is in the O1 type structure, while the majority of the material has a CdCl₂ type structure. Similar phenomena were also observed in LiCo_{0.5}Ni_{0.5}O₂, and LiCo_{0.2}Ni_{0.8}O₂ samples. In these samples, at the end of charge at 5.2 V, the percentage of the O1 type structure increases with increasing Co content.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by the U. S. Department of Energy Division of Materials Science of the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, and the Office of Energy Research, Laboratory Technology Research Program, Under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886.

References:

- 1. T. Ohzuku and A. Ueda, J. Electrochem. Soc., 141, 2972 (1994).
- 2. J. N. Reimers, and J. R. Dahn, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **139**, 2091 (1992).
- 3. G. G. Amatucci, J. M. Tarascon, and L. C. Klein, J. Electrochem. Soc., 143, 1114 (1996).
- 4. X. Q. Yang, X. Sun, and J. McBreen, Electrochem. Communi., 1, 227 (1999).
- 5. X. Q. Yang, X. Sun, and J. McBreen, Electrochem. Communi., 2, 100 (2000).